

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,357	10/06/2003	Kazutaka Yamamoto	R2180.0059/P059-E	1792	
24998	7590 05/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			HINDI, NABIL Z		
2101 L Stree Washington,	ot, NW DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	4	10/678,357	YAMAMOTO, KAZUTA	KA
۵	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		NABIL Z. HINDI	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this commun	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	May 2006		
		s action is non-final.		
·	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the mer	rite ie
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under	•		113 13
Dispositi	on of Claims	Expans quayio, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	30 0.0. 210.	
	Claim(s) 40-49 is/are pending in the application	.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.		
	Claim(s) 40-49 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		
		or election requirement.		
	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examina			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊡ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stag	е
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment	(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
	ration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont replication (F10-132)	

Application/Control Number: 10/678,357

Art Unit: 2627

In response to applicant's response date May 02, 2006. The following action is taken:

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action repeated herein.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-162511 in view of 08-46907.

The primary reference discloses an optical disk recording apparatus comprising: an input data 10, 12, and 14, transferring the input data to an input buffer 20, 26, transferring the data to an encoder CD-ROM within the writing circuit 32, wherein when an interruption of data reading occur due to a track jump, the encoded data within the writing circuit 32 is maintained in RAM 34. However the reference does not disclose the monitoring of the memory being less than a threshold value. The secondary reference disclose the use of a memory monitoring circuit in order to determine the under/over flow within the memory for the purpose of maintaining a certain data level within the memory. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of the primary reference and modify the secondary reference. Such modification of monitoring

Application/Control Number: 10/678,357

Art Unit: 2627

the memory data level is a logical engineering capability in order to maintain predetermined data amount in the memory fort eh purpose of data continuity during an interruption. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the teachings of the secondary reference for the purpose of maintaining an uninterrupted data flow.

With respect to the limitation of claim 42. the reference discloses two levels under/over flow which are obviously not equal.

With respect to the limitation of claim 43and 44. the primary reference shows an interleaving of data performed in the data recording on the recordable CD-ROM 32 which uses a CIRC encoder.

With respect to the limitation of claims 45 and 46 see element 24.

With respect to the limitations of claims 47 and 48 see elements 10, 12 and 14.

Applicant's arguments filed May 02, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is centered around the prior art not showing the maintaining of the data within the encoder during a recording interruption. However, as cited in the JP '511', the data is maintained with the encoder 32 within the memory 34 meeting the claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Art Unit: 2627

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z. HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

IMARY EXAMINER